Name $\qquad$ School $\qquad$ City $\qquad$
Composition Title $\qquad$ Composer $\qquad$
Time $\qquad$ (A five point penalty is deducted from total score if performance violates the 8-minute time limit.) Classical $\qquad$ Sacred $\qquad$

| Factors Evaluated | Poor <br> 4 points | Fair, Average 5-6 points |  | Good, Above Average <br> 7-8 points |  | Excellent, Superior 9-10 points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUSICIANSHIP | Lacks consistent feeling for meter, tempo, fluency, and continuity <br> 4 | Occasionally inconsistent in feeling for meter, tempo, fluency, and continuity <br> 5 $\square$ 6 |  | Mostly consistent in feeling for meter, tempo, fluency, and continuity$7$$\square$ 8 $\square$ |  | Excellent consistency in feeling for meter, tempo, fluency, and continuity <br> 9 10 |  |  |
| INTERPRETATION | Lack of consistent styling, musical effect, and mood; lacked proper interpretation of phrasing and dynamics; no pronounced melody <br> 4 $\square$ | Occasionally inconsistent in styling, musical effect, and mood; rarely accurate in proper interpretation of phrasing and dynamics; maintains some pronounced melody <br> 5 6 |  | Mostly consistent in styling, musical effect, and mood; sometimes accurate in proper interpretation of phrasing and dynamics; melody is mostly pronounced |  | Excellent consistency in styling, musical effect, and mood; accurate in proper interpretation of phrasing and dynamics; pronounced melody |  |  |
| ACCURACY | Inaccuracy of notes; poor read of dynamic notations; lack of steady rhythmic precision; struggled with memorization <br> 4 $\square$ | Occasional inaccuracy of notes; little effort in communicating dynamic notations; inconsistent rhythmic precision; struggled occasionally with memorization <br> 5 $\square$ 6 |  | Mostly accurate of notes; good effort in communicating dynamic notations; mostly steady in rhythmic precision; memorization mostly accurate <br> 7 $\square$ 8 $\square$ |  | Excellent accuracy of notes and communication of dynamics; steady rhythmic precision; excellent memorization <br> 9 $\square$ 10 $\square$ |  |  |
| PERFORMANCE | Inappropriate dress; poor introduction of music; no acknowledgment or response to audience; poise lacking; <br> 4 $\square$ | Some effort made in appropriate dress; introduction of music lacked volume; little acknowledgement or response to audience; inconsistent poise; not confident <br> $5 \square$ <br> 6 $\square$ |  | Mostly appropriate in dress and poise; introduction of music could be heard; mostly acknowledged audience; mostly confident <br> 7 $\square$ 8 $\square$ |  | Dressed professionally; confident in poise; communicated well introduction of music; humbly acknowledged audience <br> 9 $\square$ 10 $\square$ |  |  |
| TECHNIQUE | Lacked consistent coordination, hand position, proper execution of fingering (facility), sensitivity of touch, proper posture, and pedaling <br> 4 $\square$ | Occasionally inconsistent coordination, hand position, proper execution of fingering (facility), sensitivity of touch, proper posture, and pedaling $\qquad$ |  | Mostly consistent coordination, hand position, proper execution of fingering (facility), sensitivity of touch, proper posture, and pedaling |  | Excellent consistent coordination, hand position, proper execution of fingering (facility), sensitivity of touch, proper posture, and pedaling |  |  |
| SELECTION | Music not appropriate for festival or event; not difficult enough; <br> 4 | Some parts suitable for event but is not difficult or may be too difficult for level of pianist <br> 5 <br> 6 |  | Selection mostly appropriate; mostly matches pianist's abilities; sufficiently difficult <br> 7 8 |  | Selection appropriate in difficulty and style <br> 9 $\square$ 10 $\square$ |  |  |
| Comments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Points Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| © 2021 GSACS | 55-60............. 1 Rating |
|  | 49-54............. 2 Rating |
|  | 43-48.............. 3 Rating |
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