

# SPEECH – Debate

## Affirmative Team

## Negative Team

School \_\_\_\_\_

School \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_

Round \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Check the column on each item which best describes your evaluation of the speaker's effectiveness.  
(5 = superior, 4 = excellent, 3 = good, 2 = fair, 1 = poor)

### 1st AFFIRMATIVE

1 2 3 4 5


### 2nd AFFIRMATIVE

1 2 3 4 5


**ANALYSIS  
REASONING  
EVIDENCE  
ORGANIZATION  
REFUTATION  
DELIVERY**

### 1st NEGATIVE

1 2 3 4 5


### 2nd NEGATIVE

1 2 3 4 5


TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

### TEAM RATINGS – AFFIRMATIVE:

POOR FAIR GOOD EXCELLENT SUPERIOR

### TEAM RATINGS – NEGATIVE:

POOR FAIR GOOD EXCELLENT SUPERIOR

### RANK EACH DEBATER IN ORDER OF EXCELLENCE: FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, FOURTH

COMMENTS:

First Affirmative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

Second Affirmative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

First Negative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

Second Negative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

REASON FOR DECISION \_\_\_\_\_

In my opinion, the better debating was done by the:      AFFIRMATIVE      NEGATIVE

Judge's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_